



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/780,959	02/09/2001	Glenn S. Hansen	24006	6934	
9355	7590 08/31/2004		EXAMINER		
ALLEN, D	YER, DOPPELT, MILB	LIPMAN, JACOB			
P.O. BOX 3'	791 FL 32802-3791	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
0100111100			2134	5	
			DATE MAILED: 08/31/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



*				<b>.</b>	<b>(1)</b>			
		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
<b>¢</b>		09/780,9	59	HANSEN, GLENN S.	EP .			
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit	<del></del>			
		Jacob Li		2134				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	ation appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE - External control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) to period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply wireply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evication. days, a reply within the statory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	vent, however, may a reply be tin tutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nely filed vs will be considered timely. It he mailing date of this communic D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 09 February 20	)()1					
2a)□	· ·							
3)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from co		·				
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)[	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objecti		· ·	` '				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the three oath or declaration is objected to be	•		-	• •			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)[ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do  2. Certified copies of the priority do  3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have been been been the priority documents Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicati ents have been receive le 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	<b>;</b>			
Attachmen	` '		<b>∆</b> □	(DTO 440)				
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infon	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT or No(s)/Mail Date <u>3</u> .		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					
			<del></del>					

Application/Control Number: 09/780,959 Page 2

Art Unit: 2134

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The examiner has considered the information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 5/24/2001.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 1 recites the limitation "identification of a user and authorization for a user" in line 1. It is unclear if there are one or two users in the claim. The limitation is being read as "identification of a user and authorization for the user" in this office action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-5, 8, 9, 11-15, 17-21, and 23-25, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by de la Huerga, US Patent Number 5,960,085.

Application/Control Number: 09/780,959

Art Unit: 2134

With regard to claims 1, 2, 13, 18, 23, and 24, de la Huerga discloses a device for providing identification and authorization for a user to interact with a processor (column 6 lines 31-37) including a detector to receive an electromagnetic signal (column 6 lines 42-51), transduce the signal from a transponder reader (transceiver) to electronic (column 6 lines 51-53), and transmit it to the processor (column 12 lines 3-7), where it is compared with a stored approved signal (column 12 lines 16-22), and including a display to display communication from the processor to the user (column 4 lines 59-65).

With regard to claims 3 and 19, de la Huerga discloses sending a signal to the transponder, and receiving one back (column 12 lines 51-57).

With regard to claims 4 and 20, de la Huerga discloses the signal is radio frequency (column 6 lines 48-51).

With regard to claim 5, de la Huerga discloses the display is a video display (column 1 lines 29-33).

With regard to claims 8 and 14, de la Huerga discloses input means (column 12 lines 58-64).

With regard to claims 9 and 15, de la Huerga discloses the input means being a keyboard (column 13 lines 59-64).

With regard to claims 11 and 25, de la Huerga discloses the data transfer from the badge (storage device) to the processor is a wireless communication (column 9 lines 29-30).

With regard to claim 12, de la Huerga discloses the badge only working within a predetermined distance (column 6 lines 51-61).

Application/Control Number: 09/780,959

Art Unit: 2134

With regard to claim 17, de la Huerga discloses the processor retrieves data from a database and transmits it to the display (column 7 lines 27-35).

With regard to claim 21, de la Huerga discloses adding a visual scan (retinal scan) as a second verification (column 12 line 58-column 13 line 5).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 6, 7, 10, 16, and 22, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over de la Huerga.

With regard to claims 6, 10, 16, and 22, de la Huerga discloses a terminal with input capabilities as outlined above, but does not specifically mention it being a touch screen. The examiner takes official notice that a touch screen is a common, well known, display device with input capabilities built in. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to use a touch screen for de la Huerga's display device to limit the amount of necessary hardware.

With regard to claim 7, de la Huerga discloses a terminal display as outlined above, but does not specifically mention it being a flat panel monitor. The examiner takes official notice that a flat panel monitor is a common, well known, display device with improved visual display. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill

Application/Control Number: 09/780,959

Art Unit: 2134

in the art to use a flat panel monitor for de la Huerga's display device to make viewing easier.

Page 5

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacob Lipman whose telephone number is 703-305-0716. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 - 4:00 (M-Th).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse can be reached on 703-308-4789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JL

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100**